CHAUZA & HANDLEY, L.L.P.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW - PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT MATTERS

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MACARTHUR CENTER II
5605 N. MACARTHUR BLVD., SUITE 670
IRVING, TEXAS 75038
TELEPHONE (972) 518-1713
FACSIMILE (972) 518-1777

AUG 1 0 2005

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

WWW.TXIP.BIZ

CONFIRMATION VIA MAIL: Yes No V
NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER) 46

DATE:

August 10, 2005

TO:

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

COMPANY:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

FACSIMILE NO.:

(571) 273-8300

FROM:

Mark W. Handley

Our File No.:

LSU1-27,987US

SERIAL NO .:

09/736,354

REMARKS:

See attached.

PLEASE DELIVER TO THE OFFICE OF PETITIONS

U.S. Serial No. 09/736,354

CAUTION: THIS MESSAGE MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL AND AUTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED INFORMATION WHICH SHOULD BE READ ONLY BY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, AND REVIEW BY OTHERS IS EXPRESSLY PROHIBITED. SHOULD THIS MESSAGE BE RECEIVED BY OTHER THAN THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, WE REQUEST THAT YOU NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY AND RETURN THE EXECUMENT VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE.

Under the Paparwo	rk Reduction Act of 1995, no gene	DOUBLE REGULIER to respond to a co			PTO/SE/21 (09-04) te through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 t U S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE it displays a yalid CMB control number.		
TRANSMITTAL FORM		Filing Date First Named Inventor	09/736,354 Dec. 14, 2000 Leonard Sadjadi				
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Tutal Number of Peans in This Submission 45		Art Unit Examiner Name Attorney Docket Number	2632 La,Anh V.				
LSUI-27,721US (also 2065JB:37332)							
Fee Transmillal Form		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Attorney are remained Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD arks Se deliver to the Offi	After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):				
		OF APPLICANT, ATTO	PNEY OR A	CENT			
Firm Name CH	AUZA & HANDLEY		THE I, OR A	<u> </u>			
Signature //	Manue						
Printed name Mai	Mark W. Handley						
Date	Aug. 10, 2005 Reg. No. 36,821						
I harshy certify that this		CATE OF TRANSMISSI					
sufficient postage as first the date shown below: Signature	class mail in an envelope ad	mile transmitted to the USPTC drossed to: Commissioner for	Patents, P.O. 8	rith the Un ox 1450, /	ited States Postal Service with Nexendria, VA 22313-1450 on		
	Manuel	7>		•			
Typed or printed name	Mark W. Handley			Date	Aug. 10, 2005		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Tradomark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SB/17 (12-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2008, OMB 0651-0032 U.S. Patent and Trudomark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE on collection of information unless in decrease a said OMB control number. Under the Penerwork Reduction Act of 1995, on nersons are required to Effective on 12/08/2004. Complete if Known Fees pursyant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818) Application Number 09/736,354 TRANSMIT Filing Date Dec. 14. 2000 For FY 2005 First Named Inventor Leonard Sadiadi Examiner Name La, Anh V. Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 Art Unit 2632 TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 750.00 Attorney Docket No. LSUI-27.721US (2065JB.3733**2** METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) Check K Credit Card Money Order Other (please identify): None Deposit Account Deposit Account Number For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge any additional (ee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) Credit any overpayments under 37 CFR 1,16 and 1.17 WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES **FILING FEES SEARCH FEES EXAMINATION FEES Small Entity Small Entity** Small Entity Application Type Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fees Paid (\$) Fee (\$) Fcc (\$) Utility 300 150 500 250 200 100 Design 200 100 100 50 130 65 Plant 200 100 300 150 160 80 Reissue 300 150 500 250 600 300 Provisional 200 100 0 n 2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES Small Entity Fee Description Fee (\$) Each claim over 20 or, for Reissues, each claim over 20 and more than in the original patent 50 25 Each independent claim over 3 or, for Reissues, each independent claim more than in the original patent 200 Multiple dependent claims 180 Total Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$) Eco Paid (\$) Multiple Dependent Claims 20 or HP = Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) HP = highest number of total daims paid for, if greater than 20 Indep. Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$) Fec Paid (\$) - 3 or HP = HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3 3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper, the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) / 50 = _(round up to a whole number) x 4. OTHER FEE(S) Fees Paid (\$) Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount) Other: Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) -Fee Under 37 CFR 1.17m \$750

SUBMITTED BY			
Signature	Waruts	Registration No. 36,821	Telephone (972) 518-1713
Name (Print/Type)	Mark W. Handley	1 (3.44-44)	Date Aug. 10, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.135. The information is required to obtain or retain a bonefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOI SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTQ-9199 und select option 2.

AUG 1 0 2005

PAGE5

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.:

09/736,354

Applicant:

Lconard Sadjadi

Filed:

Dec. 14, 2000

TC/AU:

2636

Examiner:

La, Anh V.

Docket No.:

LSUI-27,721US

Cust. No.:

31,782

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent via facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents at (571) 273-8300

Aug. 10, 2005

Name of Faxer: Mark W. Handley

Signature:

Date of Signature: Aug. 10, 2005

Mail Stop Petitions Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

PETITION TO REVIVE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) AND TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a)

Applicant, L.S. Unico, Inc. ("UNICO"), Assignce of a 50% interest of record in the above-identified application, petitions for withdrawal of the holding of abandonment under 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a), and in the alternative, petitions to revive the above identified Application under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b). Petitioner further requests reconsideration of the Office Decision voiding the Power of Attorney received October 17, 2003.

Two Petitions Under 37 C.F.R. 1.313 were recently filed on behalf of Micrin Technologies Corporation ("MICRIN") in the instant Application, the first on July 12, 2005 and the second on July 18, 2005, one day before the present application was to issue as U.S. Patent No. U.S. Patent No. 6,919,810 on July 19, 2005. The Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.313 filed July 12, 1995, although being denied, voided the acceptance of the Acceptance of Power of Attorney mailed November 19, 2003 for not being filed with a Petition according to 37 CFR § 1.182 and

M.P.E.P. §402.10. The Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.313 filed July 18, 2005 was granted, withdrawing the present application from issuing as U.S. Patent No. 6,919,810 after payment of the issue fee, and the application was expressly abandoned by MICRIN's attorneys in favor of a continuation application in which all Amendments made to the application were withdrawn.

UNICO respectfully submits that the Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.313 of July 18, 2005 should not have been granted since a document granting power of attorney to MICRIN's attorneys by either of the record owners, the two Assignces MICRIN and UNICO, has not been made of record in the Application. Neither of the Petitions filed July 12, 2005 and July 18, 2005 were filed with a new power of attorney in accordance with M.P.E.P. §402.10, even though MICRIN's attorneys were seeking to act on behalf of one Assignee, MICRIN, to the determent of the other Assignce, UNICO, and both Petitions were filed after MICRIN's attorneys have in fact received notice that one of the two Assignees, UNICO, had revoked all previous power attorneys granted in the application, discharging MICRIN's attorneys from acting on behalf of UNICO.

See Exhibit A, which attaches the "Revocation and Appointment of Power of Attorney" received by the Office on October 17, 2003. This revocation was made by the sole officer of UNICO, who is also the inventor who granted the only power of attorney in the Application, as part of the original inventor's Declaration.

Whether or not the Office continues its holding that voids acceptance of the Revocation and Appointment of Power of Attorney received by the Office on October 17, 2003 as not being filed according to M.P.E.P. §402.10, the document in fact did provide written revocation of authority to act on behalf of UNICO, discharging MICRIN's attorneys in representing UNICO. MICRIN's attorneys were fully aware of the written revocation prior to submitting the Petitions

PETITION TO REVIVE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) AND TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a) APPL. SERIAL NO. 09/736,354; ATTY DCKT NO. LSUI-27,721US

of July 12, 2005 and July 18, 2005, including Revocation and Appointment of Power of Attorney received by the Office on October 17, 2003 as part of the Petition filed July 12, 2005. See

Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.313, filed July 12, 2005, Exhibit C. Instead, under the auspices of the power of attorney granted by Mr. Sadjadi, the sole inventor, who as noted above is the sole officer of UNICO, MICRIN's attorneys seek to act on behalf of only one record owner, MICRIN, in an action adverse to the only other record owner, UNICO. MICRIN sought to withdraw the Application from issuance as a patent, and expressly abandoned the Application, after UNICO had recently paid the issue fee. Such actions seek to destroy the benefit of issuance of the present application as U.S. Patent No. 6,919,810 on July 19, 2005, preventing enforcement of allowed claims as of July 19, 2005, both against MICRIN and against third parties which might presently infringe such claims. The Petitions filed July 12, 2005 and July 18, 2005 were clearly adverse to the rights and wishes of UNICO, and its sole officer, the inventor on whose previous grant of power of attorney such actions were taken.

Petitioner also notes the representation that MICRIN made in the Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.313 filed July 12, 2005 regarding it having no knowledge regarding a revocation a power of attorney. However, note is made of the Notice Regarding Change of Power Attorney mailed to MICRIN's attorneys on November 19, 2003, which is attached as Exhibit B.

UNICO also notes that the attorneys representing MICRIN are also part of a law firm representing MICRIN in Sate Court Litigation, as an adverse party to UNICO. See Exhibit F, which attaches the pleadings for Civil Action No. CC-05-03170-A, in the County Court At Law of Dallas County, Texas, ("State Court Litigation"). UNICO further notes that the State Court Litigation directly relates to agreements regarding, licenses, ownership and use of the invention

PETITION TO REVIVE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) AND TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a) APPL. SERIAL NO. 09/736,354; ATTY DCKT NO. LSUII-27,721US

of the present application. See Exhibits C and F. Exhibit C is a Declaration of Leonard Sadjadi, the sole inventor of the present application and the sole Officer of UNICO.

UNICO further notes that it was not acting in bad faith in pursuing issuance of the Application. Attached as Exhibit E is a letter from MICRIN stating that it no longer wishes to pursue any interest in the instant Application, and offering to assign the invention of the present application to UNICO. Attached as Exhibit E is a second letter from MICRIN through one if its attorneys stating that such technology was soon to be obsolete based no new designs for its products, and refusing to assign the invention without full release of Mr. Sadjadi's of claims in regard to any patent which may issue from the application unless according to certain terms deemed unreasonable by UNICO. UNICO and Mr. Sadjadi, the sole inventor of the present application, filed a lawsuit which seeks to reclaim the 50% interest in the invention of the invention of the present patent application for which MICRIN is currently a 50% record holder. See Exhibit F, Plaintiff's Original Petition, on page 8, paragraph 6, in which Plaintiff's UNICO and Leonard Sadjadi have prayed for relief that would declare as void the Assignment dated December 13, 2000 in which Mr. Sadjadi granted MICRIN a 50% interest in the invention of the present application. Although such claims of the pending State Court Litigation have yet to be resolved in a final judgment, if granted by the State Court, will give Mr. Sadjadi and UNICO together the entire interest in the invention of the Application.

Although MICRIN's attorneys were listed as attorney of record after the Office voided acceptance of UNICO's power of attorney, on their face the Petitions filed on July 12, 2005 and July 18, 2005 were clearly on behalf of one record owner, MICRIN, and adverse to the interests of the record other owner, UNICO. The Petitions dated July 12, 2005 and July 18, 2005 fully

PETITION TO REVIVE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) AND TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a) APPL. SERIAL NO. 09/736,354; ATTY DCKT NO. LSUI-27,721US

Petitioner sought was to prevent issuance of the patent after UNICO has recently paid the issue fee. This directly sets forth that MICRIN's attorneys were acting at cross-purposes to UNICO, the other record owner. Yet the Office did not require submission of a new power of attorney for the record owners, but instead relied on the original Declaration and Power of Attorney granted by Mr. Sadjadi, the sole inventor, who is in fact being harmed by withdrawal of the application from issuance and abandoning of the application as the sole officer of UNICO. Petitioner respectfully submits that the adverse interests set forth on the face of MICRIN's Petitions, in combination with the written revocation of power of attorney received by MICRIN's attorneys to act on behalf of UNICO contained in the Revocation and Appointment of Power of Attorney received by the Office on October 17, 2003, discharging MICRIN's attorneys, caused the Petitions of July 12, 2005 and July 18, 2005 to be improperly filed without submission of a new power of attorney by less than all applicants with a Petition Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.182 in accordance with M.P.E.P. §402.10.

Petitioner further notes that the attorneys acting under the initial inventor's Declaration and Power of Attorney are of a law firm representing interests adverse to those of UNICO, both in filing the Petitions Under 37 C.F.R. 1.313 on July 12, 2005 and July 18, 2005 and in representing MICRIN in State Court Litigation against UNICO. The Petitions Under 37 C.F.R. 1.313 filed on July 12, 2005 and July 18, 2005 seeking to prevent issuance of the application as U.S. Patent No. 6,919,810 on July 19, 2005 were filed without authority from UNICO, and after the attorneys in the law firm representing MICRIN were discharged by UNICO after receiving written revocation in the Revocation and Appointment of Power of Attorney received by the

PETITION TO REVIVE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) AND TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a) APPL. SERIAL NO. 09/736,354; ATTY DCKT NO. LSUI-27,721US

Page 5 of 6

Office on October 17, 2003.

Petitioner requests reconsideration of the Office Decisions voiding the Power of Attorney received October 17, 2003 and granting of the Petition dated July 18, 2005, and that the holding of abandonment of the application be withdrawn under 37 C.F.R. §1.181(a). In the alternative, that the Application be revived under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b). Applicant request that the previously paid issue fee be applied, and the application proceed to issuance.

Petitioner UNICO respectfully submits that the entire delay from MICRIN's express abandonment until submission of this Petition was unintentional, on hehalf of UNICO.

The \$750.00 Petition Fee for entry of the present document are submitted in the attached Form PTO-2038 for payment by credit card. Should the Office determine to grant this Petition based on Withdrawal of the Holding of Abandonment, Applicant requests that the Petition Fee be refunded to the credit card listed on Form PTO-2038.

Respectfully submitted,

CHAUZA & HANDLEY, L.L.P.

Registration No. 36,821

Attorneys for Petitioner, L.S. Unico, Inc.

MWII/Iv

CHAUZA & HANDLEY, L.L.P. P.O. Box 140036 Irving, Texas 75014 Tel: (972) 518-1713

Fax: (972) 518-1777

August 10, 2005